Chapter 3: XML Namespaces

References:

- Tim Bray, Dave Hollander, Andrew Layman: Namespaces in XML.
 W3C Recommendation, World Wide Web Consortium, Jan 14, 1999. http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xml-names-19990114, http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml-names.
- Tim Bray, Jean Paoli, C.M. Sperberg-McQueen: Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0, 1998. [http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml] See also: [http://www.w3.org/XML].
- Elliotte R. Harold, W. Scott Means: XML in a Nutshell, 3rd Ed. O'Reilly, 2004, ISBN 0596007647.
- Jonathan Borden, Tim Bray: Resource Directory Description Language (RDDL). Feb. 18, 2002. http://www.rddl.org/

Objectives

After completing this chapter, you should be able to:

- explain why namespaces are needed.
- determine the namespace of any element or attribute in a given XML document.
- use namespaces in your own XML documents.



1. Motivation

- 2. Method: Globally Unique Names
- 3. Declaration of Namespaces



- XML is a meta language that permits to define markup languages for specific applications.
- Many different sets of element types/tags (DTDs) for many different applications have been proposed.
- This all works well as long as each document adheres to a single, known document type.
- However, there are cases where documents contain a mixture of tags from different DTDs.

Programs that have to process such documents know only the subset of the occurring element types that is relevant for the program.



- For instance, an XSLT stylesheet that translates from a given DTD into HTML contains tags from this DTD, from XSLT, and from HTML.
- It is possible to develop DTDs in a modular way by composing different sets of tags.
 - It is not necessary to redevelop everything from scratch. Instead, one should try to reuse existing DTDs.
- It is possible that an XML document is processed by different programs, where each considers only its own tags.

Motivation (3)

- When a document contains element types from independently developed markup languages, there can be name clashes: The same name is defined in different vocabularies with different meaning.
- In such cases it is not clear what program should process this tag.


A program that processes documents not restricted to a fixed, known DTD must be able to determine which tags are intended for this program.





1. Motivation


2. Method: Globally Unique Names


3. Declaration of Namespaces














gnize "its" tags.



commendation.


The XML Namespace recommendation was still work in progress when the first edition of the XML recommendation was published.








3. Declaration of Namespaces




• Then the abbreviation (prefix) "xsl" for the namespace "http://www.w3.org/TR/WD-xsl" is defined for the entire element including all its contents.







- This defines the prefixes/abbreviations "xsl" and "html" for the two namespaces.
- Note that here "xsl" is already used in the element itself.



 One cannot give two values for the same attribute in an element, and x:a and y:a are the same attribute, since x and y stand for the same namespace.



Like any normal namespace declaration, the default namespace declaration is inherited to all subelements, unless it is explicitly redefined. If one wants to define elements in a subtree to have no namespace, one can define xmlns as the empty string.



 Here, version is an attribute of xsl:stylesheet. It is not assigned to the HTML namespace.

